

**DavisPolk**

Greg D. Andres  
+1 212 450 4724  
greg.andres@davispolk.com

Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP  
450 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10017  
davispolk.com

February 4, 2025

**BY ECF**

Hon. Alvin K. Hellerstein  
Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse  
Courtroom 14D  
500 Pearl St.  
New York, NY 10007

Re: United States v. Charlie Javice and Olivier Amar, 23 Cr. 251 (AKH)

Dear Judge Hellerstein:

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (“JPMC”) respectfully submits this letter motion to request that the Court accept the proposed sealed and redacted versions of JPMC’s motion to quash (ECF No. 255-257). Specifically, JPMC respectfully submits that sealing is warranted as to the following:

- Portions of pages 1, 2, 3, 5, and 6 from JPMC’s Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion to Quash Defendants’ Rule 17(c) Subpoenas (the “Memorandum of Law”) (ECF No. 256);
- Portions of page 7 of the subpoena issued to JPMC by Defendant Charlie Javice pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 17(c), dated January 13, 2025, attached as Exhibit A to the Declaration of Greg D. Andres (the “Andres Declaration”) (ECF No. 257-1); and
- Portions of page 7 of the subpoena issued to JPMC by Defendant Charlie Javice pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 17(c), dated January 29, 2025, attached as Exhibit B to the Andres Declaration (ECF No. 257-2).

These narrowly tailored redactions are necessary to protect the privacy of potential or anticipated witnesses and their identifying information. This court has ruled that “[t]he privacy interests of innocent third parties”—such as the witnesses at issue here, all of whom are current or former employees of nonparty JPMC and/or Frank—“should indeed weigh heavily in the Court’s balancing equation” in evaluating whether to redact the names of witnesses. *Citgo Petro. Corp. v. Starstone Ins. SE*, 2023 WL 7497858, at \*2 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 9, 2023); *see also Oklahoma Firefighters Pension & Ret. Sys. v. Musk*, 2024 WL 2305264, at \*2 (S.D.N.Y. May 20, 2024) (“[C]ase law holds that [an employee’s name] may be redacted where an employee is not a party to action in light of the individual’s privacy interests.”). JPMC therefore submits that the sealing of the above-referenced materials is warranted and requests that the Court accept the redactions outlined above.

\* \* \*

## Davis Polk

As required by Rule 4(B)(i) of the Court's Individual Rules, the parties submit herewith:

- (i) Unredacted copies of the Memorandum of Law and Exhibits A-B, which, if JPMC's requests were granted, would be placed under permanent seal; and
- (ii) Proposed public versions of the Memorandum of Law and Exhibits A-B.

We are available to provide any additional information that would be helpful to the Court and thank the Court for its consideration of this request.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Greg D. Andres

Greg D. Andres  
Sidney Bashago  
Katherine Swan  
Michelle Adler  
Christian Hines  
DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP  
450 Lexington Avenue  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 450-4000  
greg.andres@davispolk.com  
sidney.bashago@davispolk.com  
katherine.swan@davispolk.com  
michelle.adler@davispolk.com  
christian.hines@davispolk.com

*Attorneys for JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.*